2010

Optimum Land Allocation for Species Protection and Military Training on DoD Installations

Sahan T.M. Dissanayake
Portland State University, sahan@pdx.edu

Hayri Önal
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

James D. Westervelt
U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center-Construction Engineering Research Laboratory

Harold E. Balbach

Optimum Land Allocation for Species Protection and Military Training on DoD Installations

Sahan T. M. Dissanayake[1*], Hayri Önal[1], James D. Westervelt[2], and Harold E. Balbach[2]

[1]Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,

[2]U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center-Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (ERDC-CERL) , Champaign Illinois.

*Corresponding Author: Sahan T. M. Dissanayake, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois, 405 Mumford Hall, 1301 West Gregory Drive, Urbana, IL 61801-3605. Email: sdissan2@illinois.edu. Phone: (217) 419-0452, Fax: (217) 244-7088

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2010 AAEA,CAES, & WAEA Joint Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado, July 25-27, 2010

Optimum Land Allocation for Species Protection and Military Training on DoD Installations

Sahan T. M. Dissanayake, Hayri Önal
Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

James D. Westervelt, Harold E. Balbach
U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center-Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (ERDC-CERL)

1. Introduction



Suitable habitats for many endangered species are located on DoD lands

Why?

Conservation and the Military

The DoD prevents urban and agricultural development within military installations

The DoD spends more per acre to manage species than any other federal land management agency

In 2006, the DoD spent $1.6 billion for restoration and conservation

But

Increasing military needs require more land

Therefore

Need effective utilization of land for **both military and conservation** goals

Need to **relocate species** affected by expanding military training needs

How?

Mathematical programming models can design an optimum landscape for conservation and military needs

An Example: Ft. Benning, GA


(a) Current (b) Future
Locations of military land use

- Covers 182,000 acres
- US Army Armor Center and School will be relocated
- New firing ranges and maneuver areas are being built on lands **that have large Gopher Tortoise populations**


(a) Observed GT (b) GT suitability
Observed GT and habitat suitability

Gopher Tortoise (GT)
- Species at Risk
- Essential for survival of more than 200 species
- Social species, community integrity must be maintained

Need to identify training areas and habitat areas to relocate GTs

2. The Research Problem

Identify conservation managements areas (CMAs) to manage endangered and threatened species given the military training needs

Spatial Considerations
- ❖ Compact CMAs - GTs are a ground-bound species
- ❖ Movement distances - Minimize relocation distances
- ❖ Meta-clustering - Multiple populations can interact
- ❖ Cluster distances - Locate military areas and CMAs apart

Joint Management Considerations
- ❖ Joint management is more efficient,
- ❖ GFs rely on burrows and need ponds
- ❖ Simultaneously identify military areas and CMAs

3. Methods

We develop six linear mixed-integer multi-objective programming models

1. Base relocation model for Ft. Benning
2. Minimum distance relocation model for Ft. Benning
3. Meta-clustering relocation model for Ft. Benning
4. Clustered habitat selection model for Ft. Stewart
5. Multiple species habitat selection model for Ft. Stewart
6. Multiple land use model for Ft. Benning

The models are solved using GAMS/CPLEX



An Example: Ft. Stewart, GA


(a) Military ranges

- Covers 280,000 acres
- Largest military installation in the Eastern US
- Ft. Stewart has a significant population of GTs


(b)Suitability index for GT


(c)Location of ponds

Gopher Frog
Ft. Stewart has a significant population of Gopher Frogs (GF)
- Near Threatened Species
- Rely on GT burrows for shelter
- Breed in ponds and require ponds within 2 miles of burrows

Need to identify management areas for the management of GT and GF

4. Results



❖ The minimum distance relocation model places the CMAs closer to original habitat sites (in red) compared to the base model

❖ Selected CMAs are meta-clustered
❖ Smaller meta-cluster distances result in one cluster

❖ The model selects clustered CMAs

❖ The model selects GT CMAs that are also close to ponds (The ponds are shown in dark blue)

❖ Row-1: Clustered military and CMAs
❖ Row-2: Training areas and CMAs are located away from each other

5. Conclusions

- ❖ **Optimization models can be used to identify land for conservation given military land use**
- ❖ **Spatial and ecological criteria can be incorporated into integer programming models**
- ❖ **Multiple land uses, both conservation and military, can be solved simultaneously**

❖ **Adding spatial requirements can lead to**
- ❖ CMAs made up from less suitable parcels
- ❖ Less compact or contiguous CMAs
- ❖ Larger CMAs to meet the minimum population
- ❖ Complex models that are computationally harder to solve

6. Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to the participants in the AERE session (15H) at the Annual Meeting of the Southern Economics Association in 2008 and to the participants of the PERE workshop at University of Illinois for valuable comments and suggestions. This research was partially supported by the U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center-Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (ERDC-CERL) project No.W81EWF-7204-6330 and Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CREES) Project No. ILLU 05-0361.

7. References

[1]. Benton, N., J.D. Ripley, and F. Powledge, eds. Conserving Biodiversity on Military Lands: A Guide for Natural Resources Managers. 2008 edition. Arlington, Virginia: NatureServe. Available at http://www.dodbiodiversity.org.
[2]. Cocks, K.D., and I.A. Baird. (1989). Using mathematical programming to address the multiple reserve selection problem: an example from the Eyre Peninsula, South Australia. Biological Conservation 49: 113–30.
[3]. Williams, J. C., ReVelle, C. S., and Levin, S. A., (2005). Spatial attributes and reserve design models: a review. Environmental Modeling & Assessment 10:163–181.
[4]. Elliott M., Anderson L., Bumback B., Schmidt J. P., Kramer L., (2003). Georgia GAP reptile models. Gap Analysis Project. http://gapanalysis.nbii.gov/.

ILLINOIS
US Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Research and Development Center
Construction Engineering Research Laboratory